

Document Number:

ASB BPR 144
Addendum 1

Document Title:

Best Practice Recommendations for the Verification Component in Friction Ridge
Examination

Please use a separate row for each proposed revision and justification.

Comment #	Text Line # (s)	Document Section	Type of Comment	Current Document Wording	Proposed Revision	Revision Justification	Final Resolution	ASB Staff Notes
			E-Editorial T-Technical					
1		Ballot Comment			<p>I'm uncomfortable with not allowing the public to comment on closed sections of documents ("comments made to text not revised from the previous public comment period will not be accepted") but allowing the OSAC to comment after the public comment period has closed (this part of the document was voted on and rejected by the ASB on March 10, 2022). The differing rules for the public and the OSAC, and the preferential treatment towards OSAC documents are an issue for me and therefore I will abstain.</p>		<p>After any document is published, any discipline expert or technical expert may provide a comment on a document that the consensus body can choose to act upon as an addendum, or can choose to hold to the next revision cycle.</p> <p>The comment was brought to the ASB Consensus Body to address, with the choice to address it now or hold until the next revision, and the CB voted to make the modification immediately as an addendum.</p>	<p>Please note that this Addendum was open for a FULL public comment. The commenter was commenting on the fact that the document was submitted to a group at NIST after initial publication for posting on a public registry. That is when a comment was received and the ASB Consensus Body did vote to address the issue and open the Addendum up for Public Comment.</p>